



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,122	03/22/2002	Sunao Takatori	2222.6100001	9087
26111	7590	03/22/2011	EXAMINER	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.			TINKLER, MURIEL S	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3691	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
03/22/2011	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/089,122	TAKATORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MURIEL TINKLER	3691	

All Participants:

Status of Application: *non-final*

(1) MURIEL TINKLER.

(3) ____.

(2) Amirali Sharifi.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 March 2011

Time: 12:45 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, second paragraph Rejection(s) of claims 4, 5, 7-10, 12-15, 25, 27, 29, 32 and 33

Claims discussed:

4, 5, 7-10, 12-15, 25, 27, 29, 32 and 33

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Applicant's representative previously contacted the Examiner to discuss the 35 USC 112 Rejection(s) on Wednesday, March 16, 2011. The Examiner contacted Primary Examiner Akintola to discuss the 112 Rejection(s) of the claims. Primary Examiner Akintola agreed that the memories disclosed in the claims are two distinct memories. Therefore, Examiner Tinkler has agreed to withdraw the 35 USC 112 Rejection(s) of the claims. The Applicant's representative has agreed to file a timely response.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Muriel Tinkler/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3691

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)